INTRODUCTION

Increasingly, young children are learning pre-literacy skills using different digital tools, such as tablets and smartphones. There is research evidence showing children were more engaged when digital stories were shared relative to when print stories were shared (e.g., Richter & Courage, 2017).

Some studies (e.g., Korat & Segal-Drori, 2015) have reported better outcomes on core reading predictors, such as expressive vocabulary, oral narrative skills, and word recognition when children shared digital books relative to when they shared paper books. However, other studies (e.g., Parish-Morris et al., 2013) have reported worse learning outcomes in the context of digital books compared to paper books.

Why do some children not learn as much from digital stories?

It is possible that the interactive features in digital stories which likely contributed to higher engagement, challenged some children's ability to attend to the learning task. Boys and children with poorer executive function skills may be particularly at risk.



Special thanks to: Participating children and their parents, Sir Wilfrid Laurier School Board, Participating daycares and preschools, and members of the Child Phonology Laboratory, including Tory Lackman, Isabelle Oke, Anas Shahid, and Carlos Perez Valle. This study was funded by a Social Sciences and Humanities Research Council of Canada grant awarded to the third author, Funding Code : G256437, SSHRC 435-2021-0591.

LITERACY LEARNING IN A DIGITAL WORLD: CONSIDERING THE ROLES OF SEX AND EXECUTIVE FUNCTIONS IN LEARNING DIFFERENCES



REFERENCES

Deasley, S., Evans, M. A., Nowak, S., & Willoughby, D. (2018). Sex differences in emergent literacy and reading behaviour in junior kindergarten. Canadian Journal of School Psychology, 33(1), 26-43. https://doi.org/10.1177/0829573516645773 Diamond, A. (2006). The early development of executive functions. In E. Bialystok & F. I. M. Craik (Eds.), Lifespan cognition: Mechanisms of change (pp. 70-95). Oxford University Press. https://doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780195169539.003.0006 Korat, O., & Segal-Drori, O. (2015). E-book and printed book reading in different contexts as emergent literacy facilitator. Early Education and Development. https://doi.org/10.1080/10409289.2016.1095613 Parish-Morris, J., Mahajan, N., Hirsh-Pasek, K., Golinkoff, R. M., & Collins, M. F. (2013). Once upon a time: Parent-child dialogue and storybook reading in the electronic era. Mind, Brain, and Education, 7(3), 200-211. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1111/mbe.12028 Richter, A., & Courage, M. L. (2017). Comparing electronic and paper storybooks for preschoolers: Attention, engagement, and recall. Journal of Applied Developmental Psychology, 48, 92-102. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.appdev.2017.01.002

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Dahlia Thompson*, Tanya Matthews, and Susan Rvachew McGill University – School of Communication Sciences and Disorders 2001 McGill College Avenue, 8th Floor, Montréal (Québec) Canada H3A 1G1 *Correspondence to: <u>dahlia.thompson@mail.mcgill.ca</u>



RQ 1: Hierarchical linear regression analyses showed executive functions significantly predicted children's listening comprehension and word recognition. Executive functions accounted for 59% and 30% variance in outcomes, respectively. Executive functions did not predict story retell outcomes.

Listening Comprehension							
Model	R ²	R ² Change	F	p			
1	.047	.047	(3, 20) 0.32	.80			
2	.637	.590	(6, 17) 4.97	< .01			

Model 1: Intake pre-literacy skills; Model 2: Executive functions controlling for intake pre-literacy skills

RQ 2: Independent Samples *t* Test and Analysis of Variance revealed there were no significant differences between boys and girls in intake executive functions or post-read measures (e.g., listening comprehension).



CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK

- The results demonstrate that executive functions predicted children's learning from online shared reading and there were no significant sex differences in post-read measures. However, the results perhaps reflect sampling error, as the sample size is small and consisted of mostly high SES boys. The findings suggest that differences in executive functions might help parents, teachers, and
- clinicians better understand why some boys and girls learn less from digital reading contexts. □ Future work should consider what types of strategies (e.g., appropriate turn-taking) can be

Word Recognition							
Model	R ²	R ² Change	F	p			
1	.249	.249	(3, 20) 2.20	.11			
2	.551	.302	(6, 17) 3.47	.02			

embedded into digital reading contexts to support boys' and girls' executive functions while learning.

